

Production and Emission of Volatile Compounds by Petal Cells.

Sylvie Baudino, Jean Claude Caissard, Véronique Bergougnoux, Frédéric Jullien, Jean-Louis Magnard, Gabriel Scalliet, J. Mark Cock, Philippe Hugueney

▶ To cite this version:

Sylvie Baudino, Jean Claude Caissard, Véronique Bergougnoux, Frédéric Jullien, Jean-Louis Magnard, et al.. Production and Emission of Volatile Compounds by Petal Cells.. Plant Signaling and Behavior, 2007, 2 (6), pp.525-526. ujm-00188391

HAL Id: ujm-00188391 https://ujm.hal.science/ujm-00188391v1

Submitted on 16 Nov 2007 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Addendum published in Plant Signaling and Behavior 2(6) : e1-e2 (2007)

Production and Emission of Volatile Compounds by Petal Cells

Sylvie Baudino^{a,*}, Jean-Claude Caissard^a, Véronique Bergougnoux^a, Frédéric Jullien^a, Jean-Louis Magnard^a, Gabriel Scalliet^b, J. Mark Cock^c, Philippe Hugueney^b

^aLaboratoire de Biotechnologies Végétales, Plantes Aromatiques et Médicinales, EA 3061, Université Jean Monnet, 23, rue du Dr. Michelon, 42023 Saint-Etienne Cedex 2, France
^bLaboratoire Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, UMR 5667 CNRS-INRA-ENSL-UCBL, IFR128 Bioscience Lyon-Gerland, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France
^cUMR 7139 CNRS-Goëmar-UPMC, Végétaux Marins et Biomolécules, Station Biologique, Place Georges Teissier, BP74 29682 Roscoff Cedex, France

*Correspondance to: Sylvie baudino; E-mail: <u>Sylvie.Baudino@univ-st-etienne.fr</u>; Tel.: 33-4-77481525; Fax: 33-4-77481584

Addendum to:

Both the adaxial and abaxial epidermal layers of the rose petal emit volatile scent compounds Véronique Bergougnoux, Jean-Claude Caissard, Frédéric Jullien, Jean-Louis Magnard, Gabriel Scalliet, J. Mark Cock, Philippe Hugueney, Sylvie Baudino. Planta 2007

KEY WORDS

Floral scent; Petal epidermis; Rosa; Terpenes; Volatiles

ABSTRACT

We localized the tissues and cells that contribute to scent biosynthesis in scented and nonscented *Rosa* x *hybrida* cultivars as part of a detailed cytological analysis of the rose petal. Adaxial petal epidermal cells have a typical conical, papillate shape whereas abaxial petal epidermal cells are flat. Using two different techniques, solid/liquid phase extraction and headspace collection of volatiles, we showed that, in roses, both epidermal layers are capable of producing and emitting scent volatiles, despite the different morphologies of the cells of these two tissues. Moreover, OOMT, an enzyme involved in scent molecule biosynthesis, was localized in both epidermal layers. These results are discussed in view of results found in others species such as *Antirrhinum majus*, where it has been shown that the adaxial epidermis is the preferential site of scent production and emission.

TEXT

Many plant species produce volatile compounds and these molecules serve a range of purposes. For example, compounds that are emitted from leaves are generally required for the defence of the plant against insect predators. On the other hand, floral compounds attract beneficial insects, leading to pollination of the flower. In leaves, scent compounds are very often synthesised in specialized cells grouped in structures termed trichomes or secretory glands. In many flowers, it is well documented that floral fragrance is produced by the corolla,¹ although other flower parts, such as the stamens in *Ranunculus acris*,² sometimes play an important role in fragrance emission. In some flowers, in particular those belonging to the Orchidaceae family, scent is emitted by specialized areas of the petal, which have been termed osmophores by Vogel.³ However, in most flowers, when petals produce scent, it is thought to be emitted by all the cells of the petal in a diffusive manner.⁴ In many flowers,

such as roses, the adaxial petal epidermal cells have a conical-papillate shape whereas the cells of the abaxial epidermis are flat (Fig.1).⁵ The shape of these conical cells is controlled by a Myb-factor named MIXTA in *Antirrhinum majus*⁶ and their shape has been shown to play a role in the diffusion of light, thereby enhancing the attractiveness of the flower.⁷ Flowers of the *mixta* mutant have flat adaxial petal epidermal cells that reflect less light⁸ and as a consequence attract less insects⁹. Along the same lines, Kolosova et al.¹⁰ demonstrated that S-adenosyl-L-methionine:benzoic acid carboxyl methyltransferase (BAMT), an enzyme involved in scent biosynthesis, was localized in the conical cells of the lobes of snapdragon petals. On the basis of these latter observations, some authors have proposed that the papillate cell shape could enhance the diffusion of scent molecules or influence its directionality and be of adaptive significance not only by enhancing light reflection but also by enhancing scent production^{11, 12}.

To test the hypothesis that the adaxial epidermis is a privileged site for the production and emission of scent, we chose a highly scented flower, the rose. Contrary to what was expected, we found that both the adaxial and abaxial epidermal layers of the petal were sites of scent production and emission. We were able to show that NaDi reagent stained purple droplets in both epidermal layers of the rose petal, indicating that they both contain terpenes. Several different techniques, including the analysis of epidermal peels and epidermal layer-specific headspace analysis failed to detect a strong difference between the production and emission of scent in the two epidermal layers. Moreover, the detection of OOMT protein, an enzyme involved in 3,5-dimethoxytoluene production, in both the abaxial and adaxial epidermis, indicated that biosynthesis of at least some phenolic scent compounds occurs in both tissues. It will be interesting to extend this approach using *in situ* hybridization or immunolocalization

to determine whether other pathways such as terpene metabolism are also active in the abaxial epidermis.

It is striking to note that in *Clarkia breweri*, which has actinomorphic flowers like the rose, expression of the S-adenosyl-L-methionine:(iso) eugenol O-methyltransferase (IEMT) gene seems to occur in both epidermal layers.¹³ A. majus flowers have a different structure, they are highly zygomorphic with a flower shape that is adapted for bee pollination and includes specialized cell types in different parts of the flower (the lobes and the tube). To determine whether emission of scent in highly specialized flowers such as A. majus is linked to cell shape, it would be very useful to know whether mixta mutant flowers which have flat epidermal cells are impaired in their capacity to emit scent. However, the explanation may not be as simple. A recent study of the synthesis and emission of methyl benzoate showed that in Nicotiana suaveolens, as in the rose, both epidermal layers of the petal lobes are involved in scent production, whereas in Stephanotis floribunda, SAMT, another enzyme involved scent biosynthesis, is localized only in the adaxial epidermis and subepidermal regions of the flower petal lobes.¹⁴ It is intriguing to note that *N. suaveolens* has bullate to rugose epidermal cell layers on both sides of the petal whereas S. floribunda has tight flat to bullate epidermal cells. The reasons for the differences in the potential for scent emission of the two petal epidermal layers in the rose and other species are not known. However, our results and a survey of the literature clearly indicate that, in petals, epidermal cells may have diverse shapes and that the shape of the cells is not necessarily a reliable indicator of the secretory potential of those cells. It will be interesting to see whether common structural features and/or molecular factors are responsible for the differences between these various cell types.




Figure 1. Hand-made cross-section of *Rosa* x *hybrida* petal; Ad, adaxial epidermis; Ab, abaxial epidermis; P, spongy parenchyma. Bar, 20 µm.

References

1. Dobson HEM, Bergström G, Groth I. Differences in fragrance chemistry between flower parts of *Rosa rugosa* thunb.(Rosaceae). Israel J Bot 1990; 39:143-56.

2. Bergström G, Dobson HEM, Groth I. Spatial fragrance patterns within the flowers of *Ranunculus acris* (Ranunculaceae). Plant Syst and Evol 1995; 195:221-42.

3. Vogel S. Duftdrusen im Dienste der Bestaubung: Uber Bau und Funktion der Osmophoren. Akad Wiss Lit(Mainz), Abh Math-Nat Kl 1962; 10:1-165.

4. Effmert U, Grosse J, Rose USR, Ehrig F, Kagi R, Piechulla B. Volatile composition, emission pattern, and localization of floral scent emission in *Mirabilis jalapa* (Nyctaginaceae). Am J Bot 2005; 92:2-12.

5. Stubbs JM, Francis MJ. Electron microscopical studies of rose petal cells during flower maturation. Planta Med 1971; 20:211-8.

6. Noda K, Glover BJ, Linstead P, Martin C. Flower colour intensity depends on specialized cell shape controlled by a Myb-related transcription factor. Nature 1994; 369:661-4.

7. Kay QON, Daoud HS, Stirton CH. Pigment distribution, light reflection and cell structure in petals. Bot J of the Linn Soc 1981; 83:57-84.

8. Gorton HL, Vogelmann TC. Effects of Epidermal Cell Shape and Pigmentation on Optical Properties of Antirrhinum Petals at Visible and Ultraviolet Wavelengths. Plant Physiol 1996; 112:879-88.

9. Comba L, Corbet SA, Hunt H, Outram S, Parker JS, Glover BJ. The role of genes influencing the corolla in pollination of *Antirrhinum majus*. Plant Cell Environ 2000; 23:639-47.

10. Kolosova N, Sherman D, Karlson D, Dudareva N. Cellular and subcellular localization of S-adenosyl-L-methionine:benzoic acid carboxyl methyltransferase, the enzyme responsible

for biosynthesis of the volatile ester methylbenzoate in snapdragon flowers. Plant Physiol 2001; 126:956-64.

11. Martin C, Bhatt K, Baumann K, Jin H, Zachgo S, Roberts K, Schwarz-Sommer Z, Glover B, Perez-Rodrigues M. The mechanics of cell fate determination in petals. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2002; 357:809-13.

12. Glover BJ, Martin C. Evolution of adaptive petal cell morphology. In: Cronk QCB, Bateman RM, Hawkins JA eds. Developmental Genetics and Plant Evolution. London, Taylor and francis, 2002:160–72.

13. Dudareva N, Pichersky E. Biochemical and molecular genetic aspects of floral scents. Plant Physiol 2000; 122:627-33.

14. Rohrbeck D, Buss D, Effmert U, Piechulla B. Localization of methyl benzoate synthesis and emission in *Stephanotis floribunda* and *Nicotiana suaveolens* flowers. Plant Biol 2006; 8:615-26.